

Message

From: DeCoteau, Jesse (IHS/PHX) [Jesse.Decoteau@ihs.gov]
Sent: 2/5/2016 3:55:57 PM
To: Lorenz, Robert (IHS/PHX) [Robert.Lorenz@ihs.gov]; Yazzie, Lydell (IHS/PHX) [Lydell.Yazzie@ihs.gov]; Carter, James (IHS/PHX) [James.Carter2@ihs.gov]; Heintzman, Tom (IHS/PHX) [Tom.Heintzman@ihs.gov]; Matson, Eric (IHS/PHX) [Eric.Matson@ihs.gov]; brad.rea@ihs.gov; Hamilton, John (IHS/PHX) [John.Hamilton@ihs.gov]
CC: lpuhuyesva@hopi.nsn.us; Lee, Bessie [Lee.Bessie@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: First Mesa

fyi

From: IVAN SIDNEY [mailto:isidney@hopitelecom.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 6:55 PM
To: DeCoteau, Jesse (IHS/PHX) <Jesse.Decoteau@ihs.gov>; h ehonanie <hehonanie@hopi.nsn.us>; Lomahquahu, Alfred Jr (The Hopi Tribe) <ALomahquahu@hopi.nsn.us>; Wayne Taylor <wtaylor@hopi.nsn.us>
Cc: Andrew Gashwazra <AGashwazra@hopi.nsn.us>; Lorenz, Robert (IHS/PHX) <Robert.Lorenz@ihs.gov>; Yazzie, Lydell (IHS/PHX) <Lydell.Yazzie@ihs.gov>; Carter, James (IHS/PHX) <James.Carter2@ihs.gov>; Lionel Puhuyesva <LPuhuyesva@hopi.nsn.us>; Chester Carl <ccarl@htha.org>
Subject: Re: First Mesa

I tried sending my lengthy email response to all of you but server could not send. In summary, the request from Mr. Gashwazra on our water system without our permission and the response from Mr. DeCoteau without our permission are both wrong. Any future requests must be in writing and we will demand an immediate meeting with all of you to hear our response to this disrespectful practice. We demand that the Chairman and Vice Chairman direct Mr. Gashwazra and his supervisor to meet with us asap. It would be most appropriate to have our two leaders in attendance since I will also be inviting our Tribal Council Representatives. I have more to say but will reserve for our meeting. I would have expected IHS to notify us prior to their response. I now have serious doubts about our future working relationship with all of you. Please don't call to respond and hold that for our expected meeting. Notify my secretary when you will meet with us.

Ivan

From: "DeCoteau, Jesse (IHS/PHX)" <Jesse.Decoteau@ihs.gov>
To: "Andrew Gashwazra" <AGashwazra@hopi.nsn.us>
Cc: "Lorenz, Robert (IHS/PHX)" <Robert.Lorenz@ihs.gov>, "Lydell Yazzie" <Lydell.Yazzie@ihs.gov>, "James Carter" <James.Carter2@ihs.gov>, "Lionel Puhuyesva" <LPuhuyesva@hopi.nsn.us>, "IVAN SIDNEY (isidney@hopitelecom.net)" <isidney@hopitelecom.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 3, 2016 12:34:32 PM
Subject: RE: First Mesa

Morning Andrew,

Sorry for taking a week to respond but I got slammed with work this week and last week. The HAMP PER is probably your best bet for existing facilities and the facilities required to provide for growth. As far as how much additional water production and storage is required goes there isn't a yes or no answer as that would depend on what you're trying to add to it. If it's one house it shouldn't have a significant impact on total FMCV water usage. If it's 20 I'd be a bit worried and I would recommend a pro rata contribution towards additional water infrastructure if it were a proposed HUD project. We (IHS) always design systems for 20 to 30 year growth and then HUD shows up the day after the project is built and takes up all of that growth capacity. That HUD-IHS relationship is a recurring theme across the country and we're getting a lot of fire from IHS HQ to start going after HUD money whenever they try to add subdivisions and such. It has actually

come to a point where IHS projects will not be funded in the future if the deficiency is a result of HUD doing things like that unless HUD bucks up a pro rata share. So just an FYI for you moving forward.

The biggest threat to the FMCV system is probably well production, but the existing storage in the system could be considered to be insufficient at this time (definitely cannot provide fire flows) as well. I think Well #8 was running 24 hours a day for several months about a year ago as Well #5 and Well #6 were down. I think they're up and running again, but wells 5 and 6 are about 35 years old and can't really be expected to keep producing forever. The goal of the HAMP is not only water quality (i.e. arsenic) but replacing the wells that are approaching their design life across the board (all of the Second Mesa wells that are on Public Water Systems are about 40 years old).

We used the Navajo IHS design criteria for sizing in the HAMP. Please find the attached Navajo IHS Design Criteria dated 12/19/2014. I think what you may be looking for is on pages 3-4.

Thanks,

Jesse

From: Andrew Gashwazra [<mailto:AGashwazra@hopi.nsn.us>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2016 12:04 PM
To: DeCoteau, Jesse (IHS/PHX) <Jesse.Decoteau@ihs.gov>
Subject: First Mesa

Hi Jesse,

Do you have an idea on the water scenario at First Mesa. Those I have heard from say the system will not handle too much additional load and wanted to get a clear picture of the facts.

Andrew Gashwazra Sr., Director
The Hopi Tribe
Office of Community Planning and
Economic Development
928.734.3241